

5 DAYS



5 CONTINENTS





INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

ASSOCIATION INTERNATIONALE DE DROIT CONSTITUTIONNEL

DEMOCRACY 2020
ASSESSING CONSTITUTIONAL
DECAY, BREAKDOWN & RENEWAL
WORLDWIDE

GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE WEBINAR SERIES

18 19 24 25 26 November

www.iacl-democracy-2020.org

iacldemocracy2020@gmail.com





WELCOME	4
OVERVIEW	5
REGISTRATION	8
THE IACL	9
SPONSORS & PARTNERS	10
DAY I	- 11
DAY 2	14
DAY 3	17
DAY 4	20
DAY 5	23
ABSTRACTS	25
ROUNDTABLE BLOG	46
LOGISTICS	48

#democracy2020

WELCOME **

I am delighted to welcome you all to this Global Roundtable Webinar Series 'Assessing Constitutional Decay, Breakdown and Renewal'.

The pandemic has prevented me welcoming you all to Melbourne, as I had hoped but I am so pleased that the convenors, Wojciech Sadurski and Tom Daly, were undeterred by the crisis and so imaginative in response.

The webinar series will enable a rich and diverse set of papers from scholars from all parts of the globe to be presented over nine days. The International Association of Constitutional Law (IACL) is proud that this event is an IACL Roundtable, part of our regular program of global events.

It is just the kind of event that promotes the IACL's aims to develop a global network of constitutionalists and to provide a forum for the exchange of knowledge.

I would also like to acknowledge IACL's partners and, in particular, those who have generously provided funding for the event: the Melbourne School of Government and the Australian Research Council through the Laureate Program in Comparative Constitutional Law at Melbourne Law School.

Professor Adrienne STONE

President

IACL

We are pleased to present the programme for what promises to be a landmark event: the first fully online Roundtable of the IACL, organised as a webinar series from 18-26 November 2020.

At the end of what has been an acutely challenging year for liberal democracy, this Roundtable aims to provide a moment to pause and reflect, not only on 2020, but to look back on the past decade, and contemplate the future.

With 50 speakers from across 5 continents, and a rich programme including discussion of a variety of understudied country contexts and challenges, this will be a truly global conversation.

It will also be a genuinely inclusive conversation, bringing together leading scholars, rising voices, and policy actors. This inclusiveness reflects the IACL, as a truly global organisation for constitutionalists. We hope that you can join us.

Professor Wojciech SADURSKI

Associate Professor Tom Gerald DALY

Convenors



Even before the COVID-19 pandemic hit, 2020 was going to be a key milestone for those focused on the health of constitutional democracy worldwide.

This year marks the tenth anniversary of the Fidesz government's election in Hungary, which has laid down a template for the active dismantling of democratic governance through incremental and sophisticated use of law and policy. Since 2010, the number of liberal democracies worldwide whose health, or even endurance, is now in doubt has grown exponentially.

Some states have closely followed the Hungarian script: Poland, under Law and Justice Party rule since 2015, has travelled toward constitutional breakdown and after the elections in October 2019 saw the rule of that party consolidated.

In other states we see incremental deterioration of the democratic system that follows a less clear 'masterplan' but which remains highly troubling, from the USA, to India under the BJP, to Brazil under far-right President Jair Bolsonaro.

In the UK, ongoing constitutional crisis occasioned by Brexit has raised rule-of-law concerns, while in Australia recent legislation and State action has prompted soul-searching about core democratic freedoms such as free speech.

The democratic trajectories of other states, such as El Salvador, Indonesia, Latvia, Malta, Malaysia, Nepal, and Sri Lanka remain understudied.

Everywhere, democracy is in flux, challenged by interlocking trends like algorithmic governance, authoritarian populism, neo-liberalism, and corruption.

The pandemic has accelerated negative trends worldwide, while, at the same time, intensifying the focus on reinvigorating and renewing constitutional democracy.

This global International Association of Constitutional Law (IACL) Roundtable brings together a group of leading and emerging experts, to engage in a global 'stock-taking' exercise, aiming to map the health and trajectory of key democracies world-wide, pin-point gaps in analysis, and divine what broader lessons may be learned from multiple contexts and experiences.

Due to the pandemic we have re-imagined what was initially envisaged as a 2-day event as a series of 9 inter-connected webinars across 2 weeks.

Day I

Wednesday

18 November

Webinar I

Global Challenges: Threats & Resilience

10.00am-12.30pm AEDT

Webinar 2

Global Challenges: The Big Picture

7.00pm-9.30pm AEDT

Day 2

Thursday

19 November

Webinar 3

Americas: Constitutional Decay, Breakdown & Resilience

10.00am-12.30pm AEDT

Webinar 4

Middle East & Africa: Constitutionalism, Corruption & Courts

7.00pm-9.30pm AEDT



Click here

to register for one or multiple webinars

WEEK I 18 19 November

Global times:

Webinar I & 3

New York 6.00-8.30pm São Paulo 8.00-10.30pm Hong Kong 7.00-9.30am Paris 12.00-2.30am



All programme times Australian Eastern Daylight Time (AEDT)

Global times:

Webinar 2 & 4

Paris 9.00-11.30am

Jerusalem & Cape Town 10.00am-12.30pm

Hong Kong 4.00-6.30pm

New York 3.00-5.30am



Click icon to check all global times

Day 3

Tuesday

24 November

Webinar 5

Asia: Non-Linear

Constitutional Pathways

1.00pm-3.30pm AEDT

Webinar 6

Europe: Constitutional Impatience & Uncertainty

7.00pm-9.30pm AEDT

Day 4

Wednesday

25 November

Webinar 7

Asia: Spotlight on India & Sri Lanka

3.00pm-5.30pm AEDT

Webinar 8

Europe: Spotlight on Hungary & Poland

7.00pm-9.30pm AEDT

Day 5

Thursday

26 November

WEEK 2 24 25 26

November

Webinar 9

Saving Constitutional
Democracy: Remedies
& Renewal

7.00pm-9.30pm AEDT



Can't join us live?
Click the symbol to access recordings

Global times:

Webinar 5

Hong Kong 10.00am-12.30pm New Delhi 7.30-10.00am New York 9.00-11.30pm Paris 3.00-5.30am

Webinar 7

Hong Kong 12.00-2.30pm New Delhi 9.30am-12.00pm Paris 5.00-7.30am

Webinar 6, 8 & 9

See Global Times for Webinars 2 & 4 on previous page

REGISTRATION



Registration is managed through the University of Melbourne and is quick and simple.



Go to the registration page, at https://bit.ly/3llylrr

If you encounter any difficulties, simply e-mail us at:



Select which webinars you want to attend.

IACL2020-Registration@unimelb.edu.au



Fill in your details – we only ask for name, e-mail, and affiliation. We will keep your information secure and private.



You will receive a confirmation e-mail containing the link for each webinar.



If needed, you can change your details after registration (e.g. webinars selected) through a link provided in the registration e-mail.





Aim

Established in 1981, the overriding objective of the International Association of Constitutional Law (IACL) is to provide a forum in which constitutionalists from all parts of the world can begin to understand each other's systems, explain and reflect on their own, and engage in fruitful comparison, for a variety of purposes.

The Specific Aims of the Association Include:

- To develop a network of constitutionalists from countries throughout the world.
- To provide a forum for the exchange of knowledge and information about constitutional systems.
- To examine and compare common constitutional issues and phenomena.
- To anticipate new issues and identify approaches which might be taken to them.
- To offer a pool of experts from which teams might be constructed to examine and provide advice on particular issues.

Connect with Us

There are many other ways to connect with the IACL and become part of our global Association:

 Blog: Did you know our Blog is one of the most-read public law blogs in the world?
 Submission is simple and we publish pieces of 600-1200 words in three languages (English, French, and Spanish).

More information: https://blog-iacl-aidc.org

• National Associations: find out more about your national association or look into establishing a national association.

More information: https://blog-iacl-aidc.org/national-associations-list

 Research Groups: Join one of our many cutting-edge international research groups or establish a new group.

More information: http://iacl-aidc.org/index.php/en/research/research-

Membership

The IACL welcomes new members and has the following three categories of membership:

- Individuals: scholars of constitutional law or associated disciplines and legal practitioners, including judges, with a demonstrated commitment to constitutional law.
- Associations (national, transnational subnational) of constitutional law.
- Scientific institutes in the field of constitutional law.

You can find more information and application forms here: http://iacl-aidc.org/index.php/en/members

SPONSORS & PARTNERS



This Roundtable webinar series could not take place without the generous support of the Laureate Program in Comparative Law at Melbourne Law School, funded by the Australian Research Council (ARC) and the Melbourne School of Government. Both are entities of the University of Melbourne.













CONSTITUTION
TRANSFORMATION
NETWORK

We are also pleased to collaborate with the following three partners:

IACL-AIDC Blog

Democratic Decay & Renewal (DEM-DEC)

Constitution Transformation Network (CTN)

DAY I 18 NOVEMBER **



WEBINAR I

GLOBAL CHALLENGES: THREATS & RESILIENCE

Chair Tom Gerald DALY

Deputy Director

Melbourne School of Government, Australia

Webinar 1 provides an overview of key challenges facing constitutionalism and democracy worldwide. From attacks on academic freedom to technological threats, from voter suppression to China's declining culture of constitutionalism, a range of perspectives will together provide an impressionistic snapshot of global democracy.

1 Welcome and Opening Remarks: Academic Freedom, Constitutionalism and Democracy

Adrienne STONE President of the IACL; Redmond Barry Distinguished Professor, Melbourne Law School, Australia

2 In memoriam: Lidija Fleiner

Cheryl SAUNDERS President Emeritus, IACL; Professor, Melbourne Law School, Australia

3 In memoriam: Héctor Fix Fierro

José Maria SERNA IACL Executive Committee; Professor, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico

4 Technological Change as a Key Facilitator of Democratic Decline: Is Australia at Risk?

Shireen MORRIS Senior Lecturer, Macquarie University, Australia
Andrew BALL Consultant, Accenture, Melbourne, Australia

5 The Comparative Constitutional Law and Politics of Voter Suppression

Michael PAL Associate Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa, Canada

6 How 'Constitutionalism' Withers: Explaining the Changing Discourse of Constitutionalism in Chinese Constitutional Scholarship (1981-2017)

ZHAI Han Assistant Professor, School of Law, Wuhan University, China

HUANG Chen Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Renmin University, China

7 Democratic Erosion and Abusive Judicial Review

Ros DIXON Professor, University of New South Wales (UNSW) Law School, Australia

David LANDAU Mason Ladd Professor, Florida State University, USA

WEBINAR 2

18 NOV 7.00PM-9.30PM AEDT

GLOBAL CHALLENGES: THE BIG PICTURE

Webinar 2 develops the discussion in Webinar 1 through further analysis of the crisis of liberal democracy and how we understand democracy. Discussion will include the diverse impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on existing populist tends, and in accelerating existing global trends such as algorithmic governance.

Wojciech SADURSKI Chair Challis Professor of Jurisprudence University of Sydney

1 La crise protéiforme du système de démocratie libérale

Bertrand MATHIEU Vice président de l'AIDC; Professeur, Université Paris I-Sorbonne, France

2 Illiberal Democracy and Undemocratic Liberalism: Two Symmetrical Opposites

George KATROUGALOS IACL Exec. Committee.; Professor, Demokritos University of Thrace, Greece; Member, Hellenic Parliament

3 Populism, Democracy, and the COVID-19 Pandemic

Tamar HOSTOVSKY BRANDES Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Ono Academic College, Israel Yaniv ROZNAI Associate Professor, Harry Radzyner Law School, IDC, Herzliya, Israel

4 Constitutional Democracy in the Age of Algorithms: The Implications of Digital Private Powers on the Rule of Law in Times of Pandemics

Oreste POLLICINO Full Professor of Constitutional Law, Bocconi University, Italy

Giovanni DE GREGORIO PhD Candidate in Constitutional Law, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

5 Constitutional Decay and the Crisis of the Liberal Imagination

Nigam NUGGEHALLI Dean, School of Law, BML Munjal University, India

DAY 2 # 19 NOVEMBER

19 NOV 10.00AM-12.30PM AEDT

WEBINAR 3

AMERICAS: CONSTITUTIONAL DECAY, BREAKDOWN & RESILIENCE

Chair

Raul SÁNCHEZ-URRIBARRI

Senior Lecturer

Latrobe University, Australia

Webinar 3 seeks to further our understanding of the challenges facing constitutional democracy and constitution-building, and sources of resilience, through a focus on experiences and trends across the Americas, including Chile, Brazil, El Salvador and Canada.

1 A Covid-19 Constitution? Democratic Erosion, Public Trust and Constitutional Creation in Chile

Jorge CONTESSE Associate Professor, Rutgers Law School, USA; Visiting Professor, University of Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

2 The Consequences of the Change of Political Positioning of the Brazilian Government on Facing the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Breakdown of Democracy

Tatiana CARDOSO SQUEFF Professor of International Law (tenure-track), Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU), Brazil

Lúcia SOUZA D'AQUINO Researcher, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

3 From Hardball to Packing the Court: "PEC do Pijama" and the Attempt to Attack the Brazilian Supreme Court

Katya KOZICKI Full Professor of Law, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR) and Pontifical University of Paraná (PUCPR), Brazil

Rick PIANARO LL.M. Candidate, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Brazil

4 Judicial Assertiveness in Times of Crisis: The Case of El Salvador

Mónica CASTILLEJOS-ARAGÓN Lecturer, Legal Studies Department, University of California, Berkeley, USA

The Return of the Notwithstanding Clause: Pitting Rights Against Democracy and the Next Power Struggle Between Courts and Legislatures

Han-Ru ZHOU Associate Professor of Public Law, Université de Montréal Faculty of Law, Canada

WEBINAR 4

19 NOV 7.00PM-9.30PM AEDT

MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA: CONSTITUTIONALISM, CORRUPTION & COURTS

Webinar 4 switches the focus to the Middle East and Africa, both of which are understudied regions in global analysis of democracy, constitutional decay, and breakdown. The webinar begins with an overview of democracy in the Arab world, followed by case-studies focused on courts and corruption.

Selin ESEN Chair
Professor of constitutional law
Faculty of Law, Ankara University, Turkey

1 The Trajectory of Arab Democracy through the Lens of Covid-19 Measures

Osayd AWAWDA Assistant Professor, Hebron University, Palestine

2 Democratic Decline in South Africa – The Case of the Protection of State Information Bill

Juha TUOVINEN Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Department of Legal Studies, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

3 Neopatrimonialism and Rule of Law in the Nigerian Constitutional Paradigm

Tajudeen SANNI Research Fellow, Nelson Mandela University, South Africa

4 Unpacking the Normative Roles of Courts in Electoral Processes

Ugochukwu EZEH Lecturer, Faculty of Law, University of Lagos, Nigeria

5 Executive Control of the Judiciary through Appointment of Judges: Case Studies from Turkey and Cameroon

Leighann SPENCER Ph.D Candidate, Charles Sturt University, Melbourne, Australia

DAY 3 24 NOVEMBER

24 NOV 1.00PM-3.30PM AEDT

WEBINAR 5

ASIA: NON-LINEAR CONSTITUTIONAL PATHWAYS

Chair Jaclyn NEO

Director, Center for Asian Legal Studies National University of Singapore Webinar 5, the first webinar of Week 2, will focus on the diverse and non-linear constitutional and democratic pathways of a range of understudied states across Asia: Nepal, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Bangladesh. The webinar ends with a comparative discussion of how courts' approaches to religious clauses have empowered populist governments to adopt communally divisive policies, and of local democracy in Turkey.

1 Two Steps Forward, One Step Back, Another Step Sideways? Dissecting Narratives of Democracy in Nepal

George VARUGHESE Senior Strategic Advisor, Niti Foundation, Nepal

Iain PAYNE Program Analyst, Niti Foundation, Nepal

2 Political Change and the Decline and Survival of Constitutional Democracy in Malaysia and Indonesia

Dian SHAH Assistant Professor, National University of Singapore (NUS)

3 Maintaining Democracy and Public Order in the Period of Health Crisis – Malaysian Experience

Nurhafilah MUSA Senior Lecturer, Law Faculty, National University Malaysia (UKM)

4 Government Without Opposition: A New Form of Democracy in Bangladesh

Pritam DEY PhD Candidate, School of Law, University of New England, Australia

5 The Decay of Establishment Clauses

Darshan DATAR Ph.D candidate, Melbourne Law School, Australia

6 Defending Local Democracy Against Democratic Decay in the Post-Covid World

Atagün Mert KEJANLIOGLU Doctoral Candidate, McGill University, Canada

WEBINAR 6

24 NOV 7.00PM-9.30PM AEDT

EUROPE: CONSTITUTIONAL IMPATIENCE & UNCERTAINTY

Webinar 6, the first of two webinars focused on Europe, includes a selection of casestudies of democratisation, constitutional change and constitutional decay in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), Malta, Latvia and Lithuania, and comparison of judicial and electoral politics in states from Slovakia to North Macedonia to the UK.

Erika ARBAN Chair
Co-Editor, IACL Blog
Melbourne Law School, Australia

1 La démocratisation dans les pays de la Communauté d'États indépendants entre reconnaissance constitutionnelle et pratiques politiques

Tatiana MASLOVSKAYA Professeur agrégé de l'Université d'Etat biélorusse, Minsk, Biélorussie

2 Democratic Decay in Malta

John STANTON Senior Lecturer in Law, The City Law School, United Kingdom

What is the Status of Democracy in Lithuania and Latvia? A Two Country Study in the Context of Democratic-Backsliding in Eastern and Central Europe

Beatrice MONCIUNSKAITE Ph.D. Candidate, School of Law & Government, Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland

- 4 Snap Elections in Illiberal Regimes: Confirming Trust or Establishing Hegemony? The Case of North Macedonia
 Dorjana BOJANOVSKA S.J.D. Candidate, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary
- 5 Crises and Constitutional Courts: Lessons from the Case of Slovakia

Max STEUER Assistant Professor, Jindal Global Law School, O.P. Jindal Global University, India; Department of Political

Science, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia

Sascha KNEIP Research Fellow, WZB Berlin Social Science Center, Germany

6 Populism, Democracy and 'Constitutional Impatience': Courts as Institutional Decelerators in the United Kingdom

Raphaël GIRARD Ph.D candidate in Law, London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE), United Kingdom



25 NOV 3.00PM-5.30PM AEDT

WEBINAR 7

ASIA: SPOTLIGHT ON INDIA & SRI LANKA

Chair

Menaka GURUSWAMY

Senior Advocate

Supreme Court of India

Webinar 7 focuses on the two neighbouring states of India and Sri Lanka, discussing the health of constitutional democracy in each state through a variety of lenses, including judicial populism, party dominance, rights protection, and partisan constitution-making processes. Two presenters will focus on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

1 Rights During a Pandemic: The Indian Experience

Thulasi K. RAJ Advocate, Supreme Court of India; Equality Fellow Centre for Law & Policy Research, Bangalore, India

2 India's Judicial Populism in the Modi Era

Anuj BHUWANIA Professor, Jindal Global Law School, O. P. Jindal Global University, India

3 Party Dominance and Constitutional Structure: India

Aradhya SETHIA Ph.D Candidate, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

4 Bicameralism and the Rule of Law: Reining in the Abuse of Money Bills in Legislative Procedure

Gaurav MUKHERJEE S.J.D. Candidate in Comparative Constitutional Law, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

5 Covid-19 and Democratic Decay in Sri Lanka

Ayesha WIJAYALATH Ph.D Candidate, University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, Australia

6 Sri Lanka's Dance with Constitutional Democracy

Mario GOMEZ Executive Director, International Centre for Ethnic Studies, Sri Lanka

25 NOV 7.00PM-9.30PM

AEDT

WEBINAR 8

EUROPE: SPOTLIGHT ON HUNGARY & POLAND

Webinar 8 builds on webinar 6 by providing fine-grained discussion of the two best-known cases of constitutional decay and breakdown in Europe: Hungary and Poland. This webinar will provide an opportunity for a stock-taking of 10 years of Fidesz rule in Hungary, and 5 years of Law and Justice party rule in Poland, examination of the means employed to attack the democratic system, and sources of pushback.

Joelle GROGAN Chair Senior Lecturer Middlesex Law School, United Kingdom

1 The Year 2020: Lessons Learned from the Hungarian and Polish Management of the COVID-19 Crisis and Beyond

Agnieszka BIEŃ-KACAŁA Associate Professor, Faculty of Law and Administration, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland Timea DRINÓCZI Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Hungary

2 The Façade of State Organs in Contemporary Autocratic Regimes: The Case of the Polish Parliament

Piotr MIKULI Professor, Jagiellonian University in Krakow, Poland

3 Criminal Liability of Representatives of the Highest State Authorities in the Light of the Polish Constitution of 2nd April 1997 and the Current Situation in Poland

Monika CZECHOWSKA Doctoral Candidate at the Department of Criminal Law, University of Wrocław, Poland

4 Shrinking Space of Human Rights Defenders in the Last 10 Years of the Hungarian Government

Eszter KIRS Associate Professor, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary

5 The Role of Emergency Politics in the Hungarian Autocratic Transition

Gábor MÉSZÁROS Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Hungary

6 Dismantling Democratic Governance through Manipulative Electoral Politics – the Hungarian Case

János MÉCS PhD Candidate, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

DAY 5 26 NOVEMBER

26 NOV

7.00PM-9.30PM AEDT

WEBINAR 9

SAVING CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY: REMEDIES & RENEWAL

Chair CheryL SAUNDERS

President Emeritus, IACL

Professor

Melbourne Law School, Australia

The final webinar examines sources of resilience and renewal in contemporary democratic societies, from 'toolkits' to deal with authoritarian advances, to citizenled processes of democratic revival, to international intervention. Strong emphasis is placed on what lessons can be learned from experiences across the Global South as well as the Global North.

- 1 Ensuring Resiliency during Crisis by Protecting Fundamental Equality and ESC Rights
 - Amy RAUB Principal Research Analyst, WORLD Policy Analysis Center, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), USA
- 2 Barangay Assembly: A Citizen-led Reinvigoration of Political Discourse and Civic Engagement in the Philippines
 - Michael Henry YUSINGCO Research Fellow, Ateneo School of Government, Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines
- 3 A Toolkit for Preventing Constitutional Decay in India
 - Amal SETHI Fellow, University of Pennsylvania, USA
- 4 Is the Rule of Law Framework an Adequate Response to the Constitutional Decay?
 - Teodora MILJOJKOVIC S.J.D Candidate, Legal Department, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary
- 5 Constitutional Decay in Europe: Can the EU Save the Day?
 - Matteo BONELLI Assistant Professor, Law Faculty, Maastricht University, Netherlands
- 6 Constitutional Reform in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Can We Expect It in the Near Future?
 - Ajla SKRBIC Assistant Professor; Faculty of Law, University of Business Engineering & Management Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina

ABSTRACTS **

WEBINAR I

GLOBAL CHALLENGES: THREATS & RESILIENCE

Technological Change as a Key Facilitator of Democratic Decline: Is Australia at Risk?

Shireen MORRIS Senior Lecturer, Macquarie Law School, Sydney, Australia

Andrew BALL Senior Manager, Accenture, Melbourne, Australia

Throughout human history, technological advancements have buttressed the expansion of modern, representative democracies. Yet in recent decades, the ever-quickening pace of technological change is propelling stable democracies in chaotic new directions. We argue it is the key contributing factor facilitating democratic decline in developed democracies like the US. And Australia is not immune to such seismic shifts. While most scholars rightly consider Australia a healthy and stable democracy, we argue that many drivers of democratic decline observable in the US are increasingly present in Australia. Australia is not immune to the risks to democracy posed by technological change. This

paper surveys recent Australian trends and key examples of technology-driven democratic decline and 'populist' political tactics. It argues that, while Australian democracy is not as fragile as elsewhere, risk factors are present. Reform is needed to ensure technological change does not decay Australian democracy.

The Comparative Constitutional Law and Politics of Voter Suppression

Michael PAL Associate Professor, Faculty of Common Law, University of Ottawa, Canada

This presentation considers voter suppression as a comparative phenomenon and as a key component in the mix of tactics adopted by would-be autocrats. Voter suppression refers to the implementation of restrictions on access to the fundamental right to vote. The comparative literature on democratic decline has generally not put voter suppression at the heart of its analysis. I argue that the literature on competitive authoritarian regimes provides insights into the mechanisms by which voter suppression is pursued, even in democracies. Voter suppression strategies operate wherever there are levers of control that can affect turnout and electoral results. I argue that voter suppression in the new era of democratic decay emerging post-2008 uses these levers to hollow out electoral democracy such that appearance of competition and legitimacy are maintained, but without the substance. I consider several explanations, including capture, the role of courts, and disputes about the minimum conditions of democracy.

How 'Constitutionalism' Withers: Explaining the Changing Discourse of Constitutionalism in Chinese Constitutional Scholarship (1981-2017)

ZHAI Han Assistant Professor, Department of Constitutional Law and Administrative Law, School of Law, Wuhan University, China

HUANG Chen Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science, School of International Studies, Renmin University of China

This paper is a marriage between the constitutional study and the sociology of knowledge. The rise and fall of constitutionalism discourse indicate not only the directions of contemporary Chinese constitutional research but also presents the collective professionalism of Chinese scholars and its limitation under real political circumstances. We inquire how exactly and why all the main

changes take place in contemporary Chinese constitutional scholarship. Before the recent political decay, the scholarship has already deeply and subtly changed, which are featured as:

- the narrowing size of constitutional studies in Chinese,
- the core concepts such as Constitutionalism being replaced by their conservative alternatives,
- the weakening normative awareness in Chinese constitutional theories.

The underpinning reason is, disciplined by the political power, the professional role of constitutional lawyers moving from the legislative consultant to the advertiser of the developments of Socialist Rule of Law.

Democratic Erosion and Abusive Judicial Review

Ros DIXON Professor, University of New South Wales (UNSW) Law School, Australia

David LANDAU Mason Ladd Professor, Florida State University, USA

Both in the United States and around the world, courts are generally conceptualized as the last line of defense for the liberal

democratic constitutional order. But this Article shows that it is not uncommon for judges to issue decisions that intentionally attack the core of electoral democracy. Courts around the world, for example, have legitimated antidemocratic laws and practices, banned opposition parties to constrict the electoral sphere, eliminated presidential term repressed opposition-held limits, and legislatures. We call this practice abusive judicial review. Would-be authoritarians at times seek to capture courts and deploy them in abusive ways as part of a broader project of democratic erosion, because courts often enjoy legitimacy advantages that make their antidemocratic moves harder to detect and to both domestically respond internationally. This paper gives examples of abusive judicial review from around the world, explores potential responses both in domestic constitutional design and international law, and asks whether abusive judicial review is a potential threat in the United States.

WEBINAR 2

GLOBAL CHALLENGES: THE BIG PICTURE

La crise protéiforme du système de démocratie libérale

Bertrand MATHIEU Professeur agrégé des facultés de droit, Université Paris I, Sorbonne, France

L'analyse proposée part d'un constat : une crise protéiforme du système de démocratie libérale. Tenter de surmonter cette crise implique de ne pas s'enfermer dans une posture idéologique mais d'en analyser les causes. En réalité, on assiste à une rupture entre deux éléments qui, associés, ont donné la démocratie libérale : la démocratie en tant que mécanisme de légitimation du pouvoir et le libéralisme en tant que mécanisme d'exercice du pouvoir, visant à la mise en place d'un « gouvernement modéré ». La notion d'État de droit masque ces contradictions. En réalité la démocratie implique l'existence d'un peuple inscrit dans des frontières et partageant des valeurs communes. Or l'ensemble de ces facteurs sont en crise. Il en résulte que le mécanisme même de la démocratie représentative ne fonctionne plus

Illiberal Democracy and Undemocratic Liberalism: Two Symmetrical Opposites

George KATROUGALOS Professor of Public Law; Member, Hellenic Parliament, Greece

Democratic regimes historically synthesize two components, a democratic one, implying rule of majority and popular sovereignty and a liberal one, implying respect of human rights. Liberal democracies need both elements to function properly and not to degenerate. However, the bulk of the criticism is usually limited to the emergence of "illiberal democracies", in other words the rise of autocrats in states where elections are held, but rule of law is weakened.

However, weakening of our democracies can clearly be associated also with the dominance of policies which undermine the democratic element, by trends such as the breach of the social contract associated with the welfare state and the delegation of important political decisions to unaccountable public decision makers. I consider this "undemocratic liberalism" as a catalyst for the generation of its inversed idol, the "illiberal democracy" and I will try to show at my presentation the interaction between them.

Populism, Democracy, and the COVID-19 Pandemic

Tamar HOSTOVSKY BRANDES Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Ono Academic College, Israel

Yaniv ROZNAI Associate Professor, Harry Radzyner Law School, IDC, Herzliya, Israel

The COVID-19 pandemic emerged in an era in which a large number of countries are encountering challenges to democracy by populist, semi-authoritarian trends and leaders. The question then arises, whether, and is so, how, the pandemic has affected these challenges. In this paper, we argue that from what that currently be observed, the pandemic may affect existing populist tends in several different, and, potentially conflicting, manners: as an excuse and guise for power grab; through the central role science and scientists – which were undermined in populist thinking; the blurring on the one hand of the friend/enemy distinction as the virus acts indiscriminately yet on the other hand it served as a pretext for xenophobia and for blaming minority groups; and finally the pandemic lead to a process of internal convergence, which culminated with border closers but comparativism has been

rising as countries have continuously consulted and learned from other countries.

Constitutional Democracy in the Age of Algorithms: The Implications of Digital Private Powers on the Rule of Law in Times of Pandemics

Oreste POLLICINO Full Professor of Constitutional Law, Bocconi University, Italy

Giovanni DE GREGORIO PhD Candidate in Constitutional Law, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

New algorithmic technologies are challenging the principles at the heart of constitutional democracy. While the newness of new technologies is a natural challenge for the rule of law due to legal uncertainty, the new backsliding of democracy we are now facing in the information society concerns the implementation of artificial intelligence technologies by online platforms. These systems make decisions on fundamental rights outside the limit of the rule of law, thus, influencing democratic values worldwide. Our primary research question is: how can constitutionalism face the challenges brought by the emergence of new forms of power threatening

the principle of the rule of law? We believe that potential answers can be found by looking at the emerging season of digital constitutionalism. Constitutions have been conceived to limit public powers. Therefore, the shift of power from public to (digital) private hands requires framing traditional categories in the new technological scenario.

Constitutional Decay and the Crisis of the Liberal Imagination

Nigam NUGGEHALLI Dean and Professor of Law, School of Law, BML Munjal University, India

Liberal constitutions have an abiding faith in the political process, demonstrated in the tasking of majoritarian politics with creating a more equitable society. The judiciary has been typically given more limited powers of preventing abuse and has been cloaked with a limited independence, mainly to do with their tenure. I believe that there is a need for a revaluation of the liberal idea that politics is a force for the good.

I argue for a judicial function that is present pervasively in top to bottom governance issues and is completely, not partially, independent of politicians. Liberals must acknowledge the need for an independent review mechanism outside of business and political interests. We have seen the beginnings of this realisation in the private space already, with the emphasis on independent directors. We need to see a similar recognition in all forms of governance.

WEBINAR 3

AMERICAS:
CONSTITUTIONAL DECAY,
BREAKDOWN & RESILIENCE

A Covid-19 Constitution? Democratic Erosion, Public Trust and Constitutional Creation in Chile

Jorge CONTESSE Associate Professor, Rutgers Law School, USA; Visiting Professor, University of Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

In October 2020, Chile will hold a constitutional referendum to decide whether a new Constitution should be adopted; and, if the option for a new Constitution wins, which mechanism should be used for it: a constituent assembly or a "mixed" convention of citizens and members of Congress. The 1980 Constitution, drawn up by the Pinochet dictatorship, is coming to end. My contribution focuses on two aspects: first, it discusses some of the main causes of the dramatic constitutional change that is underway, with an emphasis on the role of the Constitutional Court as a key factor for triggering the constituent impetus. Second, it assesses the

constituent process as a distinctive case of democratic renewal, that is, instances where decay is democratic, as when the normal (but imperfect) functioning of the constitutional system may lead to its own destruction followed by a moment of constitutional creation—a sort of institutional implosion.

The Consequences of the Change of Political Positioning of the Brazilian Government on Facing the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Breakdown of Democracy

Tatiana CARDOSO SQUEFF Professor of International Law (tenure-track), Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU), Brazil

Lúcia SOUZA D'AQUINO Researcher, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

Brazil saw significant governmental changes in 2016, indicating a political positioning alteration. Several measures demonstrate them, being the Constitutional Amendment (CA) no. 95 one. It transformed the way government funds are relocated to several areas, including health and education,

preventing an increase in spending. Thus, it hampered public budget, weakening if not interrupting essential investments directed to social policies intended to reduce regional/ social inequalities. In 2018, such political position change was confirmed when Bolsonaro ascended to power. Hence, this proposal aims to debate the consequences of it on the measures adopted to face the Covid-19 pandemic in Brazil, confirming the hypothesis that the limitations imposed by CA/95 made a possible health policy to combat the virus inexistent, and increased inequalities, attesting the breakdown of democracy. To this end, using the hypothetical-deductive method, a descriptive-explanatory study was conducted, aiming at solving the proposed problem, based on doctrinal and documental analysis.

From Hardball to Packing the Court: "PEC do Pijama" and the Attempt to Attack the Brazilian Supreme Court

Katya KOZICKI Full Professor of Law, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR) and Pontifical University of Paraná (PUCPR), Brazil

Rick PIANARO LL.M. Candidate, UFPR, Brazil

In May 2015, the Brazilian Chamber of Deputies approved an amendment that raised

the retirement age of the Supreme Court members from 70 to 75 years. Just four years later, there is a new proposal, known as "PEC do Pijama", to revoke the former one. The paper assumes that changes in rules that affect the checks and balances system must be taken seriously. While in a hardball conjuncture these kinds of changes can be seen as questionable, in a context of constitutional crisis there could be a deliberate attack to compromise Court independence. The polish "Law on the Supreme Court", and the Brazilian authoritarian past are taken into account to review the facts and norms and analyze them. In this paper the authors argue that the latter proposition violates constitutional stability and magistracy guarantees, consisting in an autocratic move to consolidate power and pack the Court.

Judicial Assertiveness in Times of Crisis: The Case of El Salvador

Monica CASTILLEJOS-ARAGON Lecturer, Legal Studies Department, University of California, Berkeley, USA

My research critically evaluates a set of research that claims that emergencies naturally and reflexively align courts with political leaders and augment presidential powers. In the case of El Salvador, judges have not been deferential to the executive power. Rather, the Constitutional Court has issued rulings to limit the presidential power and curbed administrative actions, especially when it comes to protect rights and freedoms of citizens.

The Return of the Notwithstanding Clause: Pitting Rights Against Democracy and the Next Power Struggle Between Courts and Legislatures

Han-Ru ZHOU Associate Professor of Public Law, Université de Montréal Faculty of Law, Canada

The product of a last-minute political compromise between the federal government and some provinces as a condition for adopting a constitutional bill of rights, s. 33 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms 1982 allows lawmakers to enact an override of certain rights guaranteed by the Charter. By and large, use of the 'notwithstanding clause' has been rare. However, over the last couple of years, resort to s. 33 has picked up again in several provinces, each time bringing the political debate before the courts. The end

result of this latest round of power struggle between the government and the judiciary should recast the longstanding role of Canadian courts as the self-appointed guardians of the Constitution and determine their real ability to act as a countermajoritarian force in a constitutional democracy.

WEBINAR 4

MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA: CONSTITUTIONALISM, CORRUPTION & COURTS

The Trajectory of Arab Democracy through the Lens of Covid-19 Measures

Osayd AWAWDA Assistant Professor of Constitutional Law, Hebron University, Palestine

Despite constitutional reform attempts in the Arab region following the Arab Spring, it is arguable that these reforms existed as mere theoretical principles, with significant lack of commitment in practice. The executive powers of many states in the Arab region have been able to control other state organs. Reviewing many Arab states' responses to the Covid-19 pandemic reveals that respecting human rights, limiting exceptional powers of the executive, and deploying an efficient system of checks and balances are still far from becoming realities in many Arab states. These states responded to the Pandemic not only by declaring the state of emergency, but also through passing controversial laws that go

beyond the scope of facing health threats, seeking even more restrictions on state organs for the interest of the executive power. Such restrictions are arguably indicative of the unfortunate, looming future of democracies in the Arab region.

Democratic Decline in South Africa – The Case of the Protection of State Information Bill

Juha TUOVINEN Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Department of Legal Studies, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

When it comes to the state of democracy, South Africa is sometimes presented as a state on the same trajectory as Hungary and Poland albeit not having gone all the way yet. This contribution draws on research on the Protection of State Information Bill to explore some of the challenges facing South Africa's constitutional system. The Bill has been called "the greatest test of South Africa's democracy" (Klaaren). Here I want to use the Bill to demonstrate some of the particular characteristics of democratic decline in South Africa in order to explore the direction of democratic decline in SA (avoiding corruption, settling inter-ANC fighting, entrenching ANC rule while

displaying some forms of resilience within the legislative process). Thus, the saga of the Protection of State Information Bill is useful to shed light on some of the dynamics of the future of constitutionalism in South Africa.

Neopatrimonialism and Rule of Law in the Nigerian Constitutional Paradigm

Tajudeen SANNI Research Fellow, Nelson Mandela University, South Africa

Over the last decades, many African countries have managed to shed off totalitarian military rule and adopted constitutional democracy. The adoption of constitutional democracy entails rule of law which is about supremacy of the law and equality before the law, to paraphrase Dicey's conception of rule of law. It is the legal principle that nations must be governed by a corpus of laws rather than arbitrary orders of individual persons. All constitutions in Africa including that of Nigeria clearly provide for rule of law. However, few are examples of rule of law as Mo Ibrahim Governance Index and many other political indexes have shown. One serious challenge to rule of law is neo-patrimonialism. Neopatrimonialism, as defined by Christopher

Clapham, refers to a form of organization in which relationships of a broadly patrimonial type pervade a political and administrative system which is formally constructed on rational-legal lines. In a neo-patrimonialist state some sort of patron-client relationship systematically supplants the bureaucratic structure of the state with the attendant implication that those with power and economic influence exercise real control in a way that undermines established rules. In Nigeria, it is common for people to raise alarm about "powerful mafia" who undermine rule of law and whose actions amount to subtle overthrow of the constitution. To that effect the objectives of this paper are two; firstly, to examine the constitutional provisions relating to rule of law in the African continent with specific reference to Nigeria, the latter being the most populous and middle-income developing country. The second objective is to examine the extent to which neopatrimonialism operates in the West African nation and to what extent the powers given to the president and other office holders in the constitution aids neopatrimonialism.

Constitutional Decay and Arrested Democratisation in Africa: Unpacking the Normative Roles of Courts in Electoral Processes

Ugochukwu EZEH Lecturer, Faculty of Law, University of Lagos, Nigeria

This presentation contributes to the discourse on constitutional decay in nascent democracies by thematising a significant phenomenon—the increasing judicialisation of highly charged electoral politics in several African jurisdictions. As such, courts, politico-constitutional actors, and pro-democracy activists in a range of jurisdictions have sought—with varying degrees of success and failure—to invoke judicial power as a remedial mechanism against the onslaught of electoral malpractices and broader patterns of arrested democratisation. Indeed, the 'African' presidential election petition (PEP) has particularly emerged as a critical terrain of democratic struggle. The presentation will engage this phenomenon by exploring the normative roles that courts can play in such contexts.

First, courts can edify democratising polities by utilising strategic opportunities provided by PEPs to disseminate democratic values and foreground the need for credible electoral processes. Second, courts can deploy PEPs to facilitate institutional empowerment by opening up juridical space for core democratic institutions (like electoral commissions) to assert their independence with a view to strengthening democratising processes. Third, courts can exercise practical remedial functions by refusing to sanction electoral irregularities and malpractices.

Executive Control of the Judiciary through Appointment of Judges: Case Studies from Turkey and Cameroon

Leighann SPENCER PhD Candidate, Charles Sturt University, Melbourne, Australia; Tutor in Justice Studies, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

A core principle of democracy and the rule of law is the separation of powers. The executive, legislative, and judicial arms of government must exist independently of one another. Yet in many countries, separation of powers remains dubious. This paper looks at executive control of the judiciary with regard to the appointment of judges in Turkey and Cameroon. In Turkey, judge appointment occurs through the High Council of Judges and Prosecutors (HSYK).

However, appointment to the HSYK is controlled by the executive, rendering it just a middleman. In Cameroon, judges are explicitly appointed by the President. An analysis brings forward four key arguments. First, the contention that judicial interference occurs in the face of regime insecurity is upheld. Secondly, judge appointments have predictably led to court rulings aligned with the regimes' wills. Thirdly, there have been unexplored consequences on broader society; namely, persecution of minorities. Finally, this paper argues that reform requires international intervention.

WEBINAR 5

ASIA: NON-LINEAR CONSTITUTIONAL PATHWAYS

Two Steps Forward, One Step Back, Another Step Sideways? Dissecting Narratives of Democracy in Nepal

George VARUGHESE Senior Strategic Advisor, Niti Foundation, Nepal

lain PAYNE Program Analyst, Niti Foundation, Nepal

In the aftermath of a decade-long conflict and nearly five years after the promulgation of a new constitution, the democratic project that began in Nepal in the early 1990s remains a work in progress. Democratic institutions exist, elections have taken place with routine efficiency, and the federalisation of the state promises new opportunities for more inclusive governance. However, the dividends of democracy like representation of public interest and accountability of government appear to remain out of reach.

Contemporary commentaries on Nepali democracy tend to situate themselves within

one of several broad narratives: of incremental democratic consolidation notwithstanding occasional setbacks; or of democratic backsliding and creeping communist authoritarianism; or of unchanged and enduring patterns of patrimonial kleptocracy. In taking stock of democracy in Nepal, this paper critically draws these narratives together, assessing points of synergy and pinpointing gaps in analysis. In doing so, it draws attention to the complexity of Nepal's democratic story.

Political Change and the Decline and Survival of Constitutional Democracy in Malaysia and Indonesia

Dian SHAH Assistant Professor, National University of Singapore (NUS)

In the past two decades, Indonesia and Malaysia have undergone significant political change that promised, and paved the way for, democratic reform. However, this process has been challenged and stunted by a series of events that have instead sought to undermine constitutional democracy. This presentation is provoked by recent events in the two countries and it aims to shed light on two main questions: (1) what are the forms of challenges against

constitutional democracy that have emerged in Malaysia and Indonesia; (2) how did different constitutional institutions, political actors, and citizens respond to those challenges? It highlights several issues, including the repression of anti-government voices in the lead up to the 2019 Indonesian presidential elections, and the role of the monarchy in Malaysia's democratic governance.

By analysing the two questions, the presentation aims to shed light on whether there is a risk or pattern of constitutional decline, or conversely, whether constitutional democracy is sustained (or even reinvigorated) through the ability to withstand such challenges. The analysis will also consider how political imperatives and dynamics shape the operation, evolution and survival of constitutional democracy in Malaysia and Indonesia.

Maintaining Democracy and Public Order in the Period of Health Crisis – Malaysian Experience

Nurhafilah MUSA Senior Lecturer, Law Faculty, National University Malaysia (UKM)

From February 2020 until July 2020, Malaysia is experiencing multiple crisis, unprecedented

since its Independence. It began with the early cases of coronavirus and continued with political crisis which changed the federal government and currently, economic crisis due to the so-called 'lock-down' or Controlled Movement Order (CMO). This paper argues that the maintenance of separation of power is crucial to ensure democracy and public order are preserved in accordance with the rule of law. Despite certain calls for general election as a tool to return the democratic mandate to the people, the survey on the mass shown that people want to focus on the economy and surviving the new normal. This paper intends to record Malaysian experience in maintaining democracy and public order during covid-19 pandemic using the National Security Council Act and the Control and Prevention of Infectious Disease Act through-out mid-March up to July 2020. This paper will also identify key democratic and public order challenges faced by the federal government and steps taken to overcome the challenges.

Government Without Opposition: A New Form of Democracy in Bangladesh

Pritam DEY PhD Candidate, School of Law, University of New England, Australia

There is little doubt in anyone's mind that without a viable and effective Opposition, parliamentary democracy would be largely a sham. Without it, parliament could not perform its oversight functions, elicit response from wielders of power and enforce accountability. In other words, working democracy rests not only in posting an electoral majority, but in engendering a parliamentary opposition that would be the conscience of the nation. However, this idea of democracy is not currently applicable in Bangladesh, where an increasingly fraught politics is transforming a fragile but nonetheless democratic and moderate Muslim majority nation into a oneparty state. The hallmark of a decade's rule of the incumbent Awami League party has seen two general elections where majority members of the ruling party were elected unopposed. These elections were boycotted by all opposition parties and deemed to be far from credible by international observers. Instead of building bridges with the opposition, the government embarked on jailing, forced disappearance and extra-judicial killings of scores of opposition leaders and members.

This slide into authoritarianism is certainly not new for a nation that has experienced fifteen years of military rule over its fifty-year history. However, the unconstitutional route through

which the Awami League is transforming Bangladesh into a one-party state has shocked many. In this paper, I review this facet of democratic decay in Bangladesh through two stages. I argue that the Awami League government, through the use of the antidefection clause of the Bangladesh Constitution, has persuaded the parliament to incorporate far-reaching amendments in the Constitution. The party compelled its MPs to blindly comply with the directives of the government, which has in turn has enabled the regime to essentially substitute the rule of law with rule of man. As a result, the government was able to enact the Constitutional (Fifteenth Amendment) Act, 2011, which scrapped the law that required general elections to be conducted by a neutral caretaker government. This effectively destroyed the structure of free and fair elections in Bangladesh, which has resulted in the state sliding towards a oneparty rule.

The Decay of Establishment Clauses

Darshan DATAR Ph.D candidate, Melbourne Law School, Australia

Based on a doctrinal study of case law from the United States, India, and the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), I conclude that the

judicial concept of religion is implicated in the decay of religious freedom and establishment clauses in constitutional systems. In light of this conclusion, I hypothesize that the judicial concept of religion responds to context. Judges in jurisdictions with a generalised freedom of religion provision and an establishment clause possess a broad concept of religion in freedom of religion cases and a narrow concept of religion in establishment cases. In nonestablishment jurisdictions, judges narrow their concept of religion by 'inculturating' symbols and practices of the majority religion to privilege the majority religion of the country. In this paper, I will argue that the judicial inculturation of symbols and practices which form a part of the majority religion has allowed populist governments to adopt communally divisive policies.

Defending Local Democracy Against Democratic Decay in the Post-Covid World

Atagün Mert KEJANLIOGLU Doctoral Candidate, McGill University, Canada

Local democracy contributes to a pluralistic perspective of democracy by decentralizing the power and creating a closer relationship between the administration and the citizens. Unfortunately, the current global populist wave undermines this pluralist vision. Populists tend to centralize the power and weaken the decentralization. It is within this context that the global pandemic of COVID-19 created a disguise to legitimize this tendency. As coordinated responses became necessary, the regional and central authorities began to clash. In Turkey, opposition mayors wanted to take measures to alleviate the effects of COVID-19 as the Turkish government sought to block these actions and attempted to alienate these mayors in public opinion. Using Turkey as an example, this paper will argue that, despite the effects of COVID-19, local democracy deserves more attention as a tool against democratic decay because local authorities also hold electoral legitimacy when populist authoritarians take over the central government.

WEBINAR 6

EUROPE: CONSTITUTIONAL IMPATIENCE & UNCERTAINTY

La démocratisation dans les pays de la Communauté d'États indépendants entre reconnaissance constitutionnelle et pratiques politiques

Tatiana MASLOVSKAYA Prof. agrégé de l'Université d'Etat biélorusse, Minsk, Biélorussie

Cette intervention prend en compte la manière dont les concepts démocratiques ont été inscrits dans les textes normatifs des États de la CEI, passés dans un laps de temps très court du socialisme à un système qui se veut démocratique, mais aussi comment ils se sont traduits, ou non, dans le fonctionnement institutionnel, en empruntant, au regard des spécificités du contexte socioculturel et politique, à la fois des éléments de démocratie et des éléments qui se rattachent à une tradition non libérale.

Democratic Decay in Malta

John STANTON Senior Lecturer in Law, The City Law School, City, University of London, UK

In October 2017, Maltese journalist, Daphne Caruana Galizia, was murdered. She alleged involvement by certain Maltese politicians in the Panama Papers scandal. Investigations into those responsible for the attack are ongoing, though focus is on figures within the Government and close to former Prime Minister, Joseph Muscat. Some have been questioned and have left their posts; Muscat resigned in January 2020.

The allegations of corruption and the way in which the investigations have been organised raise questions of the Government's actions, as well as fundamental features of the Maltese constitutional system. This paper examines these questions, focusing on the allocation and separation of powers in Malta, and the rule of law. It argues that the imbalance of power in favour of the Government, combined with recent actions of that Government, are leading to democratic decay and neglect for values central to the rule of law. It then explores how reforms might reverse the trend of decay, correct the imbalance of power in Malta, and enhance the rule of law on the archipelago.

What is the Status of Democracy in Lithuania and Latvia? A Two Country Study in the Context of Democratic-Backsliding in Eastern and Central Europe

Beatrice MONCIUNSKAITE Ph.D.

Candidate, School of Law & Government, Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland

It has been well documented that the global state of democratic governance has fallen dramatically short of the expectations we had around the turn of the century. Countries in the former 'Eastern Bloc' in the EU seem to have been particularly badly hit by democratic decay. Hungary and Poland who successfully departed from communism in the 'Third Wave' of democratisation have increasingly slipped back into their authoritarian past. Much international and scholarly attention has been directed at these two states for their violations of the rule of law and democratic principles. However, little attention has been spared for the Baltic states of Lithuania and Latvia who have had a very different experience with democracy compared to their Polish or Hungarian counterparts.

This contribution will explore the status of democracy in Lithuania and Latvia with an aim

to distil the factors that encourage or inhibit democratic decay.

Snap Elections in Illiberal Regimes: Confirming Trust or Establishing Hegemony? The Case of North Macedonia

Dorjana BOJANOVSKA S.J.D. Candidate, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

Snap elections test constituents' trust in government but, at the right time, they can also be a tool for elevating majorities and/or perpetuating power. Focusing on the case of North Macedonia, where between 2006 and 2016 five general elections were held, the piece will incorporate a two-fold approach. First, I will elaborate on the aim of snap elections, arguing that between 2006 and 2016 they had several additional purposes: 1) to reinforce the populist notion of electoral legitimacy as bases for a narrative justifying violation of constitutional restraints; 2) to perpetuate power, aiming to rearrange the composition of state institutions when lacking majority necessary to enact constitutional change. Second, I will elaborate on both the institutional and non-institutional means for

ensuring electoral victory. Finally, I will briefly assess the continuing practice of snap elections post-2016 and the challenges posed by the COVID-19 crises on the 2020 snap elections.

Crises and Constitutional Courts: Lessons from the Case of Slovakia

Max STEUER Assistant Professor, Jindal Global Law School, O.P. Jindal Global University, India; Department of Political Science, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia

Sascha KNEIP Research Fellow, WZB Berlin Social Science Center, Germany

Thinking of constitutional courts (CCs) as guardians of democracy and constitutionalism implies thinking of them as preventing crises of both. Recognising some peculiarities of the concept of 'crisis', we introduce a framework for studying CCs' crisis-preventing capacities, and apply it to the Slovak case. While some CCs of the so-called Visegrád countries have received considerable attention, the Slovak Constitutional Court is rarely studied despite its broad competences and considerable resemblance to its Hungarian and Polish counterparts before their powers had been curtailed.

The Slovak case indicates that 'strategic judicial deferentialism' is not conducive for building up crisis-preventing capacities. Moreover, if invoked in cases concerning executive efforts to dismantle the separation of powers in crisis conditions, deferentialism might pave the way towards undermining the CCs' position in the constitutional system, as illustrated by the Hungarian and Polish cases. Hence, crisis-preventing CCs need to be bold not only in times of crises.

Populism, Democracy and 'Constitutional Impatience': Courts as Institutional Decelerators in the United Kingdom

Raphaël GIRARD Ph.D candidate in Law, London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE), United Kingdom

Populism dislikes intermediaries and is impatient with procedures. From a temporal perspective, populists want to do things faster. They want to go away with intermediaries and institutions (including Parliament and courts), which are seen as "thwarting the will of the people." For that reason, populists are eager to invoke executive prerogatives. In the United Kingdom, the Royal Prerogative was invoked to

"get Brexit done" (right now, urgently). Yet, it is in the context of what I would call "constitutional impatience" that courts can play the role of an institutional decelerator. Judicial proceedings have been noted - and criticized – for their slow pace, which, perhaps counterintuitively, "can be a structural asset of the multistage process of constitutional governance in its pushback against new populism." In this presentation, I argue that courts can act - and have indeed successfully acted – as judicial decelerators and as bulwarks against populist, executive aggrandizement. I make this argument by reference to the Miller (no. 1) and Miller (no. 2)/Cherry UK Supreme Court cases.

WEBINAR 7

ASIA: SPOTLIGHT ON INDIA & SRI LANKA

Rights During a Pandemic: The Indian Experience

Thulasi K. RAJ Advocate, Supreme Court of India; Equality Fellow Centre for Law & Policy Research, Bangalore, India

The Covid-19 outbreak led to significant changes in Indian democracy at least on two levels. Firstly, wide powers were assumed by the executive exercising a free hand and secondly, courts showed high deference in judicial review. The prominent argument by the state was that during times akin to emergencies, rights matter less. I will argue that this hands-free approach is misplaced and based on a wrong conception of rights.

While in emergencies, the state might be able to appeal to additional justifications for restricting the scope of certain rights. However, a suspension of the proportionality test, as indirectly condoned by the court is impermissible. Even during crises, the executive action must be tested based on the proportionality test known to international

human rights law. I will show that the actions of the state failed to meet this test.

India's Judicial Populism in the Modi era

Anuj BHUWANIA Professor, Jindal Global Law School, Jindal Global University, India

One of the most conspicuous casualties of the ongoing crisis of democracy in India is the complete capitulation of the Indian judiciary to the majoritarian rule of Prime Minister Narendra Modi. With its well-known innovations like public interest litigation, basic structure doctrine and the concomitant judicialization of politics in India, the Indian Supreme Court has for decades attracted disproportionate public attention international admiration for its judicial interventions. Since Modi's election in 2014 however, there has been a general sense of disappointment with the Indian Supreme Court, with a widely shared lament that the Court has failed to be a protector of democratic institutions.

I will address two questions regarding the role the judiciary has played in India's democratic backsliding in this period. First, to what extent does the Court's behaviour in the Modi era really mark a discontinuity? Second, how have the Indian Supreme Court's famed doctrinal improvisations in constitutional interpretation fared in this period?

I believe the answers to these related questions will help us better understand how the Indian higher judiciary has served as an ally to Modi's destruction of democratic institutions. While the judiciary is generally seen as a check on creeping authoritarianism in government, the Indian case is a peculiar one among the recent global instances of democratic backsliding because the Indian supreme court had long abandoned any counter-majoritarian role. I will argue that India's long history of judicial populism has made its higher judiciary a particularly potent and natural ally to the authoritarian populism in power personified by Modi.

Party Dominance and Constitutional Structure: India

Aradhya SETHIA Ph.D Candidate, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

This talk will analyse the consequences of part dominance in Parliament on three inter-related constitutional structures in India: parliamentarism, federalism, and electoral democracy. Parliamentarism: Indian political parties are tightly disciplined with a strong concentration of power in the party leadership. As a result, the parliamentary accountability of the executive is unlikely to come from within the party. This truncation of the role of Parliament is further facilitated by the poor understanding of the role of parliamentary Opposition in the Indian constitution.

Federalism: In the face of comfortable majorities, the Indian constitutional structure reveals its tendencies to concentrate power in the federal government, while diminishing the position of states. In the essay, I will analyse the conflict between 'federalism as the loyal opposition' and 'the constitution's unitary tilt' in the face of diminished role and strength of opposition parties in Parliament.

Finally, Indian electoral democracy, despite its acclaimed successes, faces the challenge of the role of money in politics. I will conclude with observations on election finance regime, pending constitutional challenges to recent laws, and their significance for the future of Indian constitutionalism.

Bicameralism and the Rule of Law: Reining in the Abuse of Money Bills in Legislative Procedure

Gaurav MUKHERJEE S.J.D. Candidate, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

The preponderance of a dominant executive in the process of law-making by sidestepping the Upper House of Parliament through the labelling of potentially rights-infringing legislation as 'money bills' has serious deleterious consequences for the democracy and the rule of law. This practice has been further enabled by decisions from the Supreme Court of India in a landmark judgment in 2019. My presentation argues against the constitutional rectitude of the decision by first examining the normative basis for the bicameral structure of government in India that seeks to balance its demos-constraining and demos-enabling functions. Secondly, I identify points of capacity-loss through an examination parliamentary and political practice which denude the capacity of bicameralism to serve its intended ends. I conclude that the capacity of the upper house to be demos-enabling and constraining can be restored if we reckon with the points of capacity-loss, and generally, with the practice

of working the Constitution among political actors, in fora other than courts.

Covid-19 and Democratic Decay in Sri Lanka

Ayesha WIJAYALATH Ph.D Candidate, University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, Australia

As political leaders use expansive powers to combat Covid-19, it equally tests their true commitment to liberal democratic values. In Sri Lanka, it has created the perfect platform for executive-style governance posing serious threats to her constitutional democracy.

Managing the pandemic questions the role of the executive at times of crisis. The debate oscillates between calls for a stronger executive with the ability to control resources and effectively deploy armed forces, and that of an executive who is subjected to checks and balances. The significance of this debate lies on the long-term implications for the rule of law and constitutional rights.

While the paper explores the broader constitutional ramifications posed by the pandemic in Sri Lanka, it particularly aims to examine the calls to repeal the 19th Amendment that

significantly curbs executive powers. The paper equally aims to contribute to the scholarship on the role of exogenous factors, such as the pandemic, towards democratic backsliding.

unleashed between 2015 and 2019?

Sri Lanka's Dance with **Constitutional Democracy**

Mario GOMEZ Executive Director, International Centre for Ethnic Studies, Sri Lanka

Sri Lanka represents an interesting study of the ebb and flow of constitutional decay and renewal. In newly independent Ceylon, constitutional democracy functioned well, and power alternated between the two main political parties. However, partisan constitution-making processes, in 1972 and 1978, paved the way for periods of authoritarianism. This contribution will examine the structures and politics of constitutional decay and renewal in Sri Lanka under the three constitutions of 1948, 1972, and 1978, and after the constitutional amendment of 2015. It will ask if the country's democratic institutions can stay resilient in the face of recent political changes, and comment briefly on how political power was exercised during the pandemic. Can the constitutional changes of 2015 that introduced a more balanced form of government endure, and can institutions resist political pressure and retain,

if not build on, the democratic space that was

WEBINAR 8

EUROPE: SPOTLIGHT ON POLAND & HUNGARY

The Year 2020: Lessons Learned from the Hungarian and Polish **Management of the COVID-19 Crisis** and Beyond

Agnieszka BIEŃ-KACAŁA Associate Professor (prof. UMK), Faculty of Law and Administration, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland

Timea DRINÓCZI Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Hungary

It is still true, even in July 2020, that the Hungarian and Polish COVID-19 crisis management, accompanying but not necessarily virusrelated ordinary legislation, judicial decisions, and the lack thereof, could exemplify how illiberal constitutionalism slips into authoritarianism. During the pandemic, there were three possible scenarios. The most positive one could be a total restoration of the pre-illiberalconstitutionalism state. The middle way would be a return to the pre-COVID constitutionalism. The most pessimistic outcome a real and

undeniable authoritarian turn: the Hungarian Government will abuse its newly created statute-based emergency and the crisis is not over when it actually is; PiS would not only win the presidential election but keep pushing its judicial reform. In two months period after the election, we should see the development; but, we shall not forget that at the moment, Poland is far closer to a virtual Polexit than Hungary to a Hunexit.

The Façade of State Organs in Contemporary Autocratic Regimes: The Case of the Polish Parliament

Piotr MIKULI Professor, Chair in Comparative Con Law, Jagiellonian University, Poland

One of the characteristic features of the current form of authoritarianism is that legal institutions or procedures are not simply abolished – on the contrary, they are misused, and their real meaning is changed. In the paper, the author presents institutional, legal and practical examples of parliamentary freezing in Poland in the years 2015–2020, using three approaches: 1) the issue of the contrast between legal and political constitutionalism: undermining parliamentary debates falsifies the thesis of shaping the latter in Poland; 2) the

issue of parliamentary functions: suppressing the opposition leads to the practical disappearance of these functions because characteristic legal and constitutional tools are usually used by opposition deputies; 3) the dogmatic and practical approach: presenting a classification of those mechanisms that have been used to make the Sejm an institution essential to rubberstamping the ruling party's decisions (made outside of parliamentary debates).

Criminal Liability of Representatives of the Highest State Authorities in the Light of the Polish Constitution of 2nd April 1997 and the Current Situation in Poland

Monika CZECHOWSKA Doctoral Candidate at the Department of Criminal Law, University of Wrocław, Poland

This paper deals with the criminal liability of representatives of the highest state organs in the light of the Polish constitution of April 2, 1997 and the current situation in Poland.

The aim of the article is to analyze Polish legal solutions regarding the possibility of prosecution of representatives of the highest state organs for both violation of the Constitution or

statutes, as well as for crimes committed in connection with the position held.

The subject of the analysis will be both the provisions of the Polish Constitution of 2nd April 1997, as well as -to a limited extent -the provisions of the Act of March 26, 1982 on the Tribunal of State. The subjective scope of the analysis will apply to all entities indicated in the content of art. 198 paragraph 1 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland-i.e. the President of the Republic of Poland, the President of the Council of Ministers and members of the Council of Ministers, the President of the National Bank of Poland, the President of the Supreme Audit Office, members of the National Council of Radio and Television, as well as persons entrusted with managing the ministry and the Commander-in-Chief of Forces armed. The subject scope of the analysis will cover types of liability, the mode of prosecution before the State Tribunal, as well as the proceedings before the Tribunal and the types of sanctions imposed.

In conclusion, the author will try to answer the question of whether the State Tribunal can be an effective tool to enforce constitutional responsibility, or whether it is only a "political sword hanging over the heads of the rules.

Shrinking Space of Human Rights Defenders in the Last 10 Years of the Hungarian Government

Eszter KIRS Associate Professor, Corvinus University of Budapest; Legal Officer, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, Hungary

Since 2010, the Hungarian government has systematically undermined fundamental institutions of the rule of law and democracy. The centralization of power has been coupled with restrictive measures on media freedom. parliamentary debate, freedom of assembly, and the deterioration of independent institutions, such as the Constitutional Court or the office of the ombudsperson. Civil society organizations have played a central role in defending human rights and the rule of law. The intervention will provide an insight into the process of governmental attacks on human rights defenders (e.g. blacklisting, anti-NGO laws, threatening propaganda) and their impact on the operation and efficiency of domestic NGOs, with particular attention to strategic litigation, monitoring of human rights violations, domestic and international advocacy, capacity building of legal professionals, and professional cooperation with state authorities. Emphasis will be put on "survival strategies" of human rights NGOs under the

constant and ever-growing pressure of the governmental attacks.

The Role of Emergency Politics in the Hungarian Autocratic Transition

Gábor MÉSZÁROS Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Hungary

From 2010 the fictious emergencies were simply tools in the hand of the Hungarian government. The first step was the new category of 'state of migration emergency', which enabled the using of extra-legal measures in the ordinary legal order. In the year 2020 it became evident that, regardless of the strict state of emergency regulations, the government uses emergency measures in order to gain more and more political power. The situation became more complicated after the acceptance of the "Enabling Act" which gave unconstrained power for the government. The rule of law concerns accumulated when the government – with the assistance of the Parliament – finally ended the previously declared state of danger but in the meantime with the newly minted 'state of medical emergency' extended its unconstitutional practice started with the 'state of migration emergency'.

Dismantling Democratic Governance through Manipulative Electoral Politics – the Hungarian Case

János MÉCS PhD Candidate, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

A shrewdly tailored electoral regulation has been a cornerstone in building up the Hungarian populist regime that unfolded itself gradually from 2010. The Orbán Government did not merely intend to win the votes of a neutral and pre-given electorate, but 'extracted the people from the people' (Müller) by changing the rules of the political process themselves. This involved the modification of the electoral system (e.g. gerrymandering, introduction of winner-bonus) and the electoral procedure (e.g. discriminative postal voting) as well as constantly redesigning the regulation.

The paper argues that since democratic accountability itself was directly weakened by these changes, the Hungarian Constitutional Court (HCC) was justified and required to take up an active role in reviewing electoral legislation. However, as an analysis of its decisions show, the court stood idle, for various reasons. The paper argues that key stakeholders in

democracies need to overthink the rules on modification of electoral regulation as well as to develop a thick theory of judicial review of the said regulation. It is argued that the protection of the right to vote may exclude some of the manipulations, however, structural values such as democracy, elections and rule of law enshrined in national constitutions may be of help as well in addressing the danger of dismantling democratic governance through manipulative electoral politics.

WEBINAR 9

SAVING CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY: REMEDIES & RENEWAL

Ensuring Resiliency during Crisis by Protecting Fundamental Equality and ESC Rights

Amy RAUB Principal Research Analyst, WORLD Policy Analysis Center, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), USA

The impacts on health, economies, and governance of COVID-19 have been profound and have highlighted existing inequalities with the most marginalized population groups facing the highest risks of morbidity and mortality. Low-wage workers are also the most likely to fall into or further into poverty, as economic shutdowns eliminate many jobs, particularly in the informal economy and those that cannot transition to remote work. As fundamental documents, constitutions have an important role to play in ensuring equality of opportunity. These fundamental protections are especially critical during the current pandemic and to strengthen our resiliency for the next crisis. Using comparative data from

the WORLD Policy Analysis Center on constitutional rights in 193 countries, this presentation will examine the extent to which countries are prepared for the next pandemic, both by guaranteeing equality and non-discrimination for marginalized groups and ensuring that everyone has the right to health, education, and work.

Barangay Assembly: A Citizen-led Reinvigoration of Political Discourse and Civic Engagement in the Philippines

Michael Henry YUSINGCO Research Fellow, Ateneo School of Government, Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines

The Filipino indigenous custom of collective action known as bayanihan is institutionalized in the Barangay Assembly, a community forum where citizens can directly influence local governance. However, it is not widely utilized because many barangay officials are co-opted by local political dynasties. Results of the 2019 elections reveal that political dynasties continue to dominate the electoral process, making genuine political competition virtually impossible. This piece will present the strategy of using the Barangay Assembly as a citizen-led

reinvigoration of political discourse and civic engagement. It will expound on the inherent value of the Barangay Assembly as a venue for purposeful democratic deliberation at the community level and the suitability of actively involving civil society organizations (CSOs) in organizing and managing those deliberative sessions. The piece will make the argument that citizens can collectively exert more influence in local politics and governance by routinely utilizing the Barangay Assembly in this manner. This regular formal process of robust civic participation in local democracy can then instigate the incremental erosion of political dynasty domination in local politics.

A Toolkit for Preventing Constitutional Decay in India

Amal SETHI Fellow, University of Pennsylvania, USA

In India, tactics used by activists and opposition leaders to prevent constitutional decay have not been particularly effective. This is compounded by the fact that civil society is disorganized, and the opposition is in a state of disarray. Departing from solutions that are in the nature of constitutional/institutional reforms, this article hopes to provide a more pragmatic template to address the immediate

constitutional decay in India. This article will efforts at safeguarding show how constitutional democracy would require activists and opposition leaders to be extremely patient, strategic, and coordinated. Most significantly, this will entail, understanding the major ways in which constitutional decay is taking place; mobilizing elite and popular support for India democracy amidst rising populism and nationalism; prioritizing protecting the minimal core of Indian democracy; recognizing that the Supreme Court is not always an ally; utilizing institutional leverages efficiently; and working towards ensuring rotation of power at all levels.

Is the Rule of Law Framework an Adequate Response to the Constitutional Decay?

Teodora MILJOJKOVIC S.J.D Candidate, Legal Department, Central European University (CEU), Budapest, Hungary

The ongoing shift from liberal values in Europe has been said to amount to a breach of values of the European Union, expressed in the article 2 of the TEU, particularly the principle of the rule of law. Despite the fact that the Article 7 procedure has been launched against Poland in

2017 and Hungary in 2018, it could be argued that EU's response to the undermining of the rule of law has made little of an impact on the regressive constitutional practices in these countries. The focus of this presentation will be on the connection of the rule of law framework and the recent attacks on the judiciary, primarily in EU but also worldwide. The question at hand is — can the rule of law framework be an appropriate narrative under which the illiberalism is to be fought against, particularly its detrimental impact on the judicial independence.

Constitutional Decay in Europe: Can the EU Save the Day?

Matteo BONELLI Assistant Professor, Law Faculty, Maastricht University, Netherlands

Signs of constitutional decay are evident in several Member States of the EU, and most notably in Hungary and Poland. This presentation reflects on the role that EU institutions may have in tackling constitutional decay in the Member States. First, it argues that in order to protect its own democratic legitimacy and the smooth functioning of Union law, the EU cannot be indifferent to national democratic crises and needs to react to them. Then, the presentation explores the

toolkit EU institutions have at their disposal and reflects on how it has been used so far. While the EU could make better use of existing instruments, there is in any event a limit to what can be achieved through EU intervention: a top-down rescue of national democracies is simply out of the question. EU institutions should rather concentrate on protecting and empowering domestic actors that can resist constitutional decay and contribute to democratic renewal within the domestic scene.

future, but also the questions of whether the issue of constitutional changes in BiH is in fact not as complex as it seems and whether the BiH political leaders want the status quo to be preserved? Finally, I will explore what broader lessons may be learned from BiH experience.

Constitutional Reform in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Can We Expect It in the Near Future?

Ajla SKRBIC Assistant Professor; Faculty of Law, University of Business Engineering and Management Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Taking into account the constitutional and legislative structure as well as political system and political culture in Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH), I will first examine the reasons why the previous attempts at constitutional change in BiH have failed. Then, I will offer new solutions for constitutional reform in BiH. I will not only try to answer the question of whether we can expect constitutional reform in BiH in the near



All speakers will produce a 2000-word paper, which will be published together as a Blog Symposium jointly hosted on the Roundtable website and the IACL-AIDC Blog.

The Blog posts are available at:

www.iacl-democracy-2020.org/blog



Note: This Logistics Guidance is for Attendees. Speakers have been provided with fuller logistics guidance separately

Zoom: All Roundtable webinars will be conducted via Zoom. Links for each webinar are provided to you upon registration.

Visibility: During the webinar, only the chair and panellists will be visible.

Asking a Question: Audience members can pose questions to panellists through the Q&A function.

Questions will be sent by our webinar assistants to the webinar Chair via Zoom Chat. The Chair will select which questions to discuss.

Recording: Please note that this webinar will be recorded. We will edit the video afterwards and make the session available on the IACL Democracy 2020 website after the event.

French/English Simultaneous Translation:

For **Webinars 2 & 6 only**, there will be French/English simultaneous translation.

When you log into Zoom, you **must select and allocate a language channel** (it causes IT issues if you fail to do this at the beginning).

We highly recommend you **mute original audio** (which is the final item in the list that appears when you click the 'globe' icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen.

